| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 8 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 9 | FABIAN CHEVALIER MILLS, |
| 10 | Petitioner, No. CIV S-03-0264 DFL DAD P |
| 11 | VS. |
| 12 | D.L. RUNNELS, Warden, et al., |
| 13 | Respondents. <u>ORDER</u> |
| 14 | / |
| 15 | Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no |
| 16 | absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d |
| 17 | 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at |
| 18 | any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing |
| 19 | § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be |
| 20 | served by the appointment of counsel at the present time. |
| 21 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's June 19, 2006 request |
| 22 | for appointment of counsel is denied. |
| 23 | DATED: July 5, 2006. |
| 24 | 7,000 |
| 25 | DALE A. DROZD DALE A. DROZD |
| 26 | millo264.110 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |